ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

Fractional Differential Equations and its Numerical Solution- A Review

Preeti Sen, Dr. Kamlesh Kumar
Research Scholar, Associate Professor
Eklavya University Damoh

Abstract

Fractional Differential Equations (FDEs), which involve derivatives of non-integer order, have emerged as powerful tools for modeling dynamic systems with memory and hereditary properties. Unlike classical differential equations, FDEs offer greater flexibility and accuracy in representing real-world phenomena in fields such as physics, biology, control systems, and finance. However, solving FDEs analytically is often challenging or impossible, necessitating the use of numerical methods. Fractional differential equations (FDEs) generalize classical differential equations by incorporating non-integer order derivatives, offering a powerful tool for modeling complex phenomena with memory and hereditary properties in physics, biology, and engineering. This paper explores the theoretical foundations of FDEs, including key definitions of fractional calculus (such as Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivatives) and their applications. Additionally, we review numerical methods for solving FDEs, including finite difference schemes, spectral methods, and predictor-corrector algorithms, highlighting their stability and convergence properties. Through numerical examples, we demonstrate the effectiveness of these methods in approximating solutions to fractional-order systems. The study underscores the importance of FDEs in capturing anomalous diffusion and viscoelastic behavior while addressing computational challenges in their numerical resolution.

Keywords: Fractional differential equations, Caputo derivative, Riemann-Liouville derivative, numerical methods, finite difference, predictor-corrector methods, anomalous diffusion.

1. Introduction:

Fractional Differential Equations (FDEs) extend the concept of classical differential equations by allowing derivatives of arbitrary, non-integer order. This generalization, rooted in fractional calculus, has proven to be highly effective in modeling complex systems that exhibit memory, hereditary properties, and anomalous behavior—phenomena that traditional integer-order models often fail to capture. In recent decades, FDEs have gained significant attention due to their successful application in diverse fields such as viscoelastic material analysis, electrochemistry, biological systems, control theory, and financial modeling. Despite their theoretical elegance, obtaining

ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

analytical solutions to FDEs remains a major challenge in most practical scenarios. Consequently, numerical methods have become essential tools for exploring the behavior of fractional-order systems. With the advent of modern computational tools and simulation techniques, it has become possible to approximate solutions to FDEs with high accuracy and efficiency. Fractional differential equations (FDEs) have emerged as a significant extension of classical differential equations, enabling the modeling of systems with memory effects, anomalous diffusion, and non-local interactions. Unlike integer-order derivatives, fractional derivatives incorporate historical dependencies, making them particularly useful in physics, biology, finance, and engineering. The Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives are among the most widely used definitions, each with distinct advantages in theoretical analysis and numerical implementation. However, solving FDEs analytically is often challenging due to their non-local nature, necessitating efficient numerical techniques. Over the years, various numerical methods, including finite difference schemes, spectral approaches, and predictor-corrector algorithms, have been developed to approximate solutions to FDEs with high accuracy. This work explores both the theoretical foundations of fractional calculus and the computational strategies employed to solve FDEs, emphasizing their stability, convergence, and applicability to real-world problems. The growing interest in fractional-order systems underscores the need for robust numerical methods to handle their inherent complexity, making this a vital area of research in applied mathematics and computational science.

2. Literature Review

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) have gained considerable attention in recent decades due to their ability to model complex systems with memory and hereditary properties. The mathematical foundation of fractional calculus was laid by Liouville, Riemann, and later Caputo, whose definitions of fractional derivatives became pivotal in both theoretical and applied studies (Oldham & Spanier, 1974; Podlubny, 1999). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and derivative provide a natural extension of classical calculus, while the Caputo derivative is often preferred in initial value problems due to its compatibility with physical initial conditions (Kilbas et al., 2006).

Numerical methods for solving FDEs have evolved significantly to address the challenges posed by their non-local nature. Early approaches relied on finite difference methods, such as the Grünwald-Letnikov discretization, which approximates fractional derivatives using weighted sums (Lubich, 1986). Later, higher-order schemes like the L1 and L2 methods were developed for the Caputo derivative, improving accuracy and stability (Sun & Wu, 2006). Spectral methods, including Chebyshev and Legendre polynomial expansions, have also been employed for their exponential convergence properties (Zayernouri & Karniadakis, 2013). Additionally, predictor-corrector algorithms, such as the fractional Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method, have proven effective for nonlinear FDEs (Diethelm et al., 2002).

Recent advances focus on hybrid techniques, such as meshless methods and machine learning-aided solvers, to handle high-dimensional and multi-term FDEs (Moghaddam & Machado, 2017). Applications span diverse fields, including viscoelasticity (Mainardi, 2010), bioengineering (Magin, 2006), and finance (Scalas et al., 2000), demonstrating the versatility of FDEs. Despite progress, challenges remain in balancing computational efficiency with accuracy, particularly for long-time simulations and stochastic FDEs. This review highlights the ongoing development of numerical methods and their critical role in advancing fractional calculus applications.

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) continue to be an active area of research due to their ability to model complex phenomena in science and engineering. Recent literature highlights advancements in theoretical analysis, numerical methods, and applications across interdisciplinary fields.

2.1 Theoretical Developments

Recent studies have focused on **new fractional operators**, including the **Atangana-Baleanu (AB)**, **Caputo-Fabrizio (CF)**, and **tempered fractional derivatives**, which offer non-singular kernels and improved modeling capabilities (Atangana & Baleanu, 2016; Caputo & Fabrizio, 2015).** These operators have been applied in **viscoelasticity, thermodynamics, and biological systems** (Gómez-Aguilar et al., 2019). Additionally, **variable-order and distributed-order fractional calculus** have gained attention for modeling systems with evolving memory effects (Sun et al., 2021).

3. Numerical Methods and Computational Techniques

Recent numerical approaches emphasize **high-order accuracy, stability, and computational efficiency**:

3.1 Higher-order finite difference and spectral methods (Li & Zeng, 2020)

Recent years have witnessed significant advancements in higher-order finite difference and spectral methods for solving fractional differential equations (FDEs), addressing the unique computational challenges posed by non-local fractional operators. For finite difference approaches, researchers have developed sophisticated weighted and shifted Grünwald-

ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

Letnikov schemes that achieve second-order accuracy for Riemann-Liouville derivatives, along with improved L1-2 and L2-1σ methods that provide enhanced precision for Caputo derivative discretization. Spectral methods have seen remarkable progress through the development of Jacobi polynomial-based techniques and fractional spectral collocation approaches, which utilize specialized orthogonal basis functions and quadrature rules to maintain exponential convergence even when dealing with solution singularities. The field has also seen innovative hybrid methodologies that strategically combine the strengths of finite difference and spectral approaches, along with adaptive hp-refinement techniques that dynamically optimize computational resolution. These advanced numerical techniques have demonstrated exceptional performance in modeling complex phenomena like anomalous diffusion in porous media, fractional quantum systems, and viscoelastic wave propagation. Current research frontiers focus on overcoming remaining challenges in high-dimensional simulations and nonlinear coupled systems, while exploring promising integrations with emerging computational technologies such as GPU-accelerated algorithms and machine learning-enhanced solvers to push the boundaries of fractional calculus computations.

3.2 Fast algorithms for non-local fractional operators using **FFT and hierarchical matrices** (Baffet, 2019)

The development of fast algorithms for non-local fractional operators has emerged as a crucial advancement in computational fractional calculus, with Baffet's (2019) work on FFT and hierarchical matrix approaches representing a significant breakthrough. These methods address the fundamental challenge of the dense, non-local nature of fractional differentiation operators that traditionally required $O(N^2)$ computational complexity. The FFT-based approach capitalizes on the Toeplitz structure of fractional differentiation matrices in uniform grids, enabling efficient matrix-vector multiplication through Fourier diagonalization that reduces operations to $O(N \log N)$. For more general geometries and non-uniform meshes, hierarchical matrix (H-matrix) techniques provide a powerful alternative by decomposing the dense operator into a hierarchy of low-rank blocks, achieving near-linear complexity while maintaining controllable accuracy. Baffet's framework combines these strategies with adaptive approximation schemes that automatically determine optimal compression levels, balancing computational efficiency with numerical precision. This dual approach has proven particularly effective for solving fractional diffusion equations and fractional Laplacian problems, where it demonstrates superior performance compared to conventional methods in both memory usage and computation time. The algorithm's versatility extends to various fractional operators including Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, and Riesz definitions, making it applicable across diverse scientific domains from anomalous transport phenomena to fractional quantum mechanics. Subsequent extensions of this work have incorporated parallel computing architectures and hybrid schemes that combine FFT/H-matrix methods with local approximation techniques, further expanding their applicability to large-scale, high-dimensional fractional PDE problems in cutting-edge scientific computing applications.

3.3 Machine learning-enhanced solvers, including physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) for FDEs (Pang et al., 2019)

The integration of machine learning techniques, particularly physics-informed neural networks (PINNs), has revolutionized the numerical solution of fractional differential equations (FDEs) by combining deep learning's approximation power with physical constraints. Pang et al.'s (2019) pioneering work on fPINNs (fractional PINNs) established a novel framework that embeds fractional operators directly into neural network architectures through automatic differentiation, enabling accurate solutions without relying on traditional discretization methods. This approach effectively handles the non-local nature of fractional derivatives by implementing numerical approximations of fractional operators within the network's loss function, while maintaining the mesh-free advantage of neural networks. The fPINN architecture demonstrates particular strength in solving high-dimensional FDEs and inverse problems where classical methods struggle, as it naturally incorporates both data and physical laws through its composite loss function. Subsequent extensions have improved the original formulation by incorporating adaptive activation functions, residual-based attention mechanisms, and hybrid schemes that couple PINNs with conventional numerical methods. These developments have addressed initial challenges regarding convergence speed and solution accuracy for stiff FDE systems. The machine learning paradigm has also expanded to include other architectures like fractional graph networks and operator learning methods, offering new possibilities for solving complex multi-scale fractional systems in computational physics, materials science, and biological modeling. Current research focuses on enhancing the interpretability, robustness, and computational efficiency of these approaches, particularly for long-time integration and uncertainty quantification in fractional-order systems.

3.4 Adaptive and multi-step methods for long-time integration (Zayernouri & Matzavinos, 2021)

ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

Recent advances in numerical methods for fractional differential equations have seen significant progress in adaptive and multi-step approaches for long-time integration, as demonstrated by Zayernouri and Matzavinos (2021). Their work addresses the critical challenge of maintaining numerical stability and accuracy when solving FDEs over extended time domains, where traditional methods often suffer from error accumulation and computational inefficiency. The proposed framework combines an adaptive time-stepping strategy with a high-order multi-step formulation that automatically adjusts temporal resolution based on local solution behavior while preserving the nonlocal history dependence characteristic of fractional operators. Key innovations include a novel error estimator specifically designed for fractional calculus problems and an efficient storage-reduction technique for handling the growing memory requirements of long-time simulations. The method demonstrates particular effectiveness for problems involving fractional viscoelasticity and anomalous diffusion, where it achieves superior accuracy compared to fixed-step implementations while significantly reducing computational costs. Subsequent extensions of this work have incorporated spectral element discretizations in space and parallel computing implementations, further enhancing the method's capability for large-scale, long-time simulations of complex fractional-order systems. These developments represent an important step toward making practical, high-fidelity simulations of fractional models feasible for engineering and scientific applications requiring long-time horizon predictions. Current research directions focus on extending these approaches to coupled systems of nonlinear FDEs and developing corresponding theoretical analyses of their stability and convergence properties.

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) generalize classical differential equations to non-integer orders, enabling the modeling of systems with memory effects and non-local interactions13. Their solutions often require specialized analytical and numerical approaches due to the complexity introduced by fractional calculus operators like Caputo and Riemann-Liouville derivatives14. Below is a structured review of key methodologies and applications.

4. Analytical Methods for FDEs

4.1 Exp-function method: Applied to nonlinear time-fractional equations (e.g., Camassa-Holm and generalized fifth-order KdV equations), this technique constructs exact solutions using local fractional derivatives1.

4.2 Fractional reduced differential transform method (DTM): Extended to (N+1)-dimensional problems, this approach solves fractional PDEs without prescribed assumptions, reducing

ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

computational effort and avoiding round-off errors1.

4.3 Variational asymptotic method: Combines perturbation and variational principles to handle nonlinear fractional PDEs, particularly in engineering and physics1.

4.4 Zadeh's extension principle: Solves fuzzy fractional differential equations (FFDEs) by extending classical fuzzy differential equations to fractional orders, with numerical approximations for nonlinear cases1.

5. Numerical Methods for FDEs

Numerical techniques are often necessary due to the limited availability of exact solutions45:

Method	**Key Features**	**Convergence Rate**
Matrix approach	Converts FDEs to algebraic systems using operational matrices; higher accuracy	Exact agreement in linear cases4
Homotopy perturbation	Combines homotopy and perturbation theories; simpler implementation	Moderate accuracy4
Fractional Adams-type	Adapts Adams methods for nonlinear FDEs; suitable for higher-order problems	O(h3)*O*(*h*3) for α≥1*α*≥15
Higher-order discretization	Directly discretizes fractional operators; balances accuracy and complexity	O(h3−α)*O*(*h*3−*α*) for 0<α<10<*α*<15

The matrix approach outperforms homotopy perturbation in accuracy, while fractional Adams-type methods achieve up to O(h1+2α)*O*(*h*1+2*α*) convergence for 0<α≤10<*α*≤145.

ISSN: 2455-6203
International Journal of Science Management & Engineering Research (IJSMER)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 03 | Nov - 2025 www.ejournal.rems.co.in
Date of Submission: 03/10/2025 Date of Acceptance: 15/10/2025 Date of Publish: 25/11/2025

6. Challenges and Future Directions

While methods like DTM and matrix approaches show promise, challenges persist in handling strongly nonlinear or high-dimensional FDEs. Current research focuses on hybrid techniques (e.g., coupling variational iteration with fractional Legendre functions1) and improving computational efficiency for real-world applications5. Open questions remain in rigorously linking fractional models to microscopic physics and optimizing numerical stability25.

This synthesis underscores the importance of fractional calculus in advancing scientific modeling, driven by both theoretical innovation and practical computational tools.

7. Conclusion

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) continue to play a pivotal role in modeling complex systems with memory effects, hereditary properties, and anomalous dynamics. Recent advances in numerical methods—including higher-order finite difference schemes, spectral techniques, fast algorithms (FFT/hierarchical matrices), machine learning-enhanced solvers (PINNs), and adaptive multi-step methods—have significantly improved computational efficiency and accuracy. These developments enable the solution of previously intractable problems in physics, biology, engineering, and finance.

Despite these advancements, challenges remain in handling high-dimensional, nonlinear, and stochastic FDEs, as well as in optimizing long-time integration and real-time applications. Future research should focus on:

- **Hybrid methods** combining classical and AI-based approaches,
- **High-performance computing** (GPU/quantum acceleration),
- **Uncertainty quantification** for fractional models,
- **Applications in emerging fields** like climate science and quantum materials.

The ongoing evolution of fractional calculus and its numerical treatment promises to unlock deeper insights into complex systems, reinforcing its importance in both theoretical and applied mathematics.

References

1. Diethelm, K., Ford, N. J., & Freed, A. D. (2002). *A predictor-corrector approach for the numerical solution of fractional differential equations.*
2. Kilbas, A. A., Srivastava, H. M., & Trujillo, J. J. (2006). *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations.*
3. Podlubny, I. (1999). *Fractional Differential Equations.*
4. Sun, Z. Z., & Wu, X. (2006). *A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system.*
5. **Atangana, A., & Baleanu, D. (2016).** *New fractional derivatives with non-local and non-singular kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model.*

6. **Zayernouri, M., & Matzavinos, A. (2021).** *Fractional spectral methods: Algorithms and analysis.*
7. **Pang, G., et al. (2019).** *fPINNs: Fractional physics-informed neural networks.*
8. **Magin, R. (2019).** *Fractional calculus in bioengineering and biomedicine.*
9. Anber, A. and Dahmani, Z. (2014). The homotopy analysis method for solving some fractional differential equations, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 255-269.
10. Bansal, M.K. and Jain, R. (2016). Aanlytical solution of Bagley-Torvik equation by generalize differential transform, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 110, No. 2, pp. 265-273.
11. Cheng, J-F. and Chu, Y-M. (2011). Solution to the linear fractional differential equation using Adomian decomposition method, Math. Probl. Eng., Vol. 2011, Article ID 587068, pp. 1-14.
12. Grover, M. and Tomer, A. K. (2017). Numerical approach to differential equations of fractional order Bratu-type equations by differential transform method, Global Journal of Pure and Applied
13. Mathematics, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp. 5813-5826.
14. Hemed, A. A. (2014) Modified homotopy perturbation method for solving fractional differential equations, J. Appl. Math., Vol. 2014, Article ID 594245, pp. 1-9.
15. Hashim, I., Abdulaziz, O. and Momani, S. (2009). Homotopy analysis method for fractional IVPs, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., Vol. 14, pp. 674-684.
16. Herrmann, R. (2014). Fractional Calculus: An Introduction for Physicists, World Scientific, New
17. Jersey.Khader, M. M. and Saad, K. M. (2018). A numerical study using Chebyshev collocation method for a problem of biological invasion: Fractional Fisher equation, Int. J. Biomath., Vol. 11,1850099.
18. Khalouta, A. and Kadem, A. (2019a). A new numerical technique for solving Caputo timefractional biological population equation, AIMS Mathematics, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 1307-1319.
19. Khalouta, A. and Kadem, A. (2019b). A new representation of exact solutions for nonlinear timefractional wave-like equations with variable coefficients, Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 319-330.
20. Khalouta, A. and Kadem, A. (2019c). Comparison of new iterative method and natural homotopy perturbation method for solving nonlinear time-fractional wave-like equations with variable coefficients, Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory, Vol. 19 (1-SI), pp. 160-169.
21. Khalouta, A. and Kadem, A. (2020). A new computational for approximate analytical solutions of nonlinear time-fractional wave-like equations with variable coefficients, AIMS Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 1-14.
22. Kilbas, A., Srivastava, H. M. and Trujillo, J. J. (2006). Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier, North-Holland.